This material is part of a collection that documents the harassment, discrimination, and retaliation perpetrated against Alaska's women research scientists by their supervisor, with full knowledge (and arguably, "tacit approval") of their federal employer, the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) COMPLAINANT'S REBUTTAL STATEMENT

NAME: Cynthia Bower	CASE NUMBER: ARS-2008-00696
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I have received the Responding Official's statement (Al	berto Pantoja) related to my discrimination complaint, and
I do not desire to comment further.	\(\frac{1}{4}\)
X I desire to comment further, as shown on this at	nd/or the following pages.
I did not receive the attachments that Di	r. Pantoja referenced in his affidavit.
Viewing those documents is essential, a	nd I cannot write an effective rebuttal
without them. The most I can offer at th	is time is a list of the questions that
elicited false statements from Dr. Panto	ja (#19, 20, 41, 47, 69, 70, and 71). An
additional 29 of his 81 answers are misl	eading to the extent that a reasonable
•	usion from them (#4, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 28
	2, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 61, 63, 68, 72, 74, and
76).	
	*
	<u>.</u>
	X. &.
	2
	4
$\frac{1}{S}$	IGNATURE
1	3 February 2009

PAGE OF 3

DATE

## Re: Reauest for Rebuttal Statement

Thursday, February 12, 2009 8:28:52 PM

From: bower@sfos.uaf.edu
To: mmtsutsui@comcast.net

Martha,

I still have not received the attachments that Dr. Pantoja referred to in his affidavit. i believe viewing those documents is essential before I write my rebuttal

I am currently preparing four different presentations (for three back-to-back conferences out-of-state). I leave this Sunday (Feb. 15th) and will be gone until February 26th. I no longer have time to address Dr. Pantoja's 81 claims before I return.

The most I can offer at this time is to list the questions that elicited false statements from Dr. Pantoja (#19, 20, 41, 47, 69, 70, and 71). An additional 29 of his 81 answers are misleading to the extent that a reasonable person would draw an inaccurate conclusion from them (#4, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 28, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 48, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 61, 63, 68, 72, 74, and 76).

I hope that this information will suffice.

Cindy

```
>
> Cynthia
> The only thing I got last night was his affidavit. \hat{A} He said he would be
> sending me the attachments this evening. As soon as I get them I will
> forward them on to you.
> Martha
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: bower@sfos.uaf.edu
> To: mmtsutsui@comcast.net
> Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 10:16:08 AM GMT -08:00 US/Canada Pacific
> Subject: Re: Reauest for Rebuttal Statement
> Martha,
> Could you please send me the attachments submitted by Dr. Pantoja? So many
> of his statements are false that I can only wonder what "proof" he thought
> he was providing. Thank you.
> Cindy
>>
>> Dr. Bower:
>> Attached is a copy of the affidavit received from Dr. Pantoja concerning
>> your EEO complaint.Ã, Please review his statement.Ã, Also attached
>> is a
>> Request for Rebuttal Statement.Ã, Please use this form to respond to
>> Pantoja's statements.Ã, Upon completion pleae date/sign the statement
>> and
```

```
>> send it to me at 1318 Stannage Ave., Berkeley, CA 94702.Ã, Thank you.
>>
>> Martha Tsutsui
>>
>> Contract EEO Investigator
>>
>> Morales & Associates, LLC
>>
>>
```